

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,871	04/27/2005	Shigeru Kitamura	10921.312USWO	2203
52835 HAMRE SCH	7590 07/07/200 IUMANN, MUELLER	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			GORDON, BRIAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,871	KITAMURA, SH	IIGERU
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian R. Gordon	1797	

The amendment document filed on 26 May 2009 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following

item(s) is required.	ment document to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mantum and the specification include mantum and the specification include mantum and the specific	kings.
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFF B. Other	R 1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR B. The practice of submitting proposed drawin	the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). Ig correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings is, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
□ C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: I number by using one of the following statu: (Previously presented), (New), (Not entere □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have 区. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status he status of every claim must be indicated after its claim s identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended), not been presented in ascending numerical order.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signal	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
	ant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the
(including a submission for a request for continued exam amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF	following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 'Ft 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a , the correction required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.130 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a C	6(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final <i>uayle</i> action.
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	ant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
/Brian R Gordon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-324 (01-06)

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/532,871

Continuation of 4(e) Other. The amendment filed on May 26, 2009 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because the previously examined claims were directed to a method and a different apparatus that that of the present claims. Had the new claims been present with the originally filed claims, the new claims would have been restricted therefrom. The previously examined method was not required to performed by the apparatus the new claims. The method could have been performed by the apparatus the new claims. The method could have been performed by the different apparatus. As well as the apparatus she will different apparatus she will different apparatus the different classifications.